

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 11-2203

MICHAEL A. SCOTT,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

CITY OF NORFOLK; REGINA V.K. WILLIAMS; BERNARD A. PISHKO; K.
HANNAN; S. MICHAEL; E. ZYSK,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern
District of Virginia, at Norfolk. Raymond A. Jackson, District
Judge. (2:10-cv-00611-RAJ-DEM)

Submitted: February 14, 2012

Decided: February 23, 2012

Before NIEMEYER, SHEDD, and FLOYD, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Michael A. Scott, Appellant Pro Se. Melvin Wayne Ringer, CITY
ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, Norfolk, Virginia, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Michael A. Scott appeals the district court's order denying relief on his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2006) complaint. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. Scott v. City of Norfolk, No. 2:10-cv-00611-RAJ-DEM (E.D. Va. Sept. 30, 2011). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED